AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED S	TATES DISTR	NICT COURT FILED SURT	
		District of	NÉBRASKA F NEPRASKA	
UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA		2006 OCT -3 PM 4: 41	
	V.	ORD]	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	ADRIAN B. ROBINSON Defendant	_ Case Num	nber: 4:06CR3149FFICE OF THE CLERK	
In accordar detention of the	•	142(f), a detention hearing l	has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
Part I—Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense statement of the statement				
□ a □ ar	elendant is charged with an offense described al offense that would have been a federal officiency of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 n offense for which the maximum sentence is n offense for which a maximum term of improperation.	ense if a circumstance givin 3156(a)(4). Life imprisonment or death	g rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is	
□ a	felony that was committed after the defendan	nt had been convicted of two	o or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	
(2) The of (3) A peri	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment			
(4) Findin	for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
Alternative Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
/ fo	or which a maximum term of impi ider 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	risonment of ten year	s or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.	
(2) The de	fendant has not rebutted the presumption esta pearance of the defendant as required and the	ablished by finding 1 that no e safety of the community	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
Alternative Findings (B)				
☐ (1) There i	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. 			
 				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Def. Walnut, bry + agreed to define a function				
+1me				
reasonable oppor	nt is committed to the custody of the Attorney cticable, from persons awaiting or serving s rtunity for private consultation with defense	coursel. On order of a co	Detention presentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a urt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the othe United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
_10-3-06 / Jaid L. Leuten				
Date Signature of Judicial Officer			- **	
			Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge and Title of Judicial Officer	
*Insert as applica et seq.); or (c) Se	able: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S. ection 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §	C. 8.801 et sea.): (b) Contr	olled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951	